

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

FILED

DEC 12 2005

**CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS**

QIN ZENG,

Petitioner,

v.

ALBERTO R. GONZALES, Attorney
General,

Respondent.

No. 04-70717

Agency No. A75-755-899

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted December 5, 2005**

Before: GOODWIN, W. FLETCHER, and FISHER, Circuit Judges.

Qin Zeng, a native and citizen of China, petitions for review of a decision of the Board of Immigration Appeals (“BIA”) affirming an immigration judge’s order denying her application for withholding of removal. We have jurisdiction under 8

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

U.S.C. § 1252. We review for substantial evidence, *INS v. Elias-Zacarias*, 502 U.S. 478, 481 (1992), and we deny the petition for review.

Substantial evidence supports the BIA's finding that Zeng is not entitled to withholding of removal because she failed to establish a clear probability that she would be targeted for her practice of Zhong Gong or that her life or freedom would be threatened if she returned to China. *See Hoxha v. Ashcroft*, 319 F.3d 1179, 1185 (9th Cir. 2003).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.